

imilar facts are presented in Shih v. Starbucks, albeit the decision was made differently. Starbucks filed a motion for summary judgment in response to Shih's allegations, claiming she was unable to provide evidence that the purported manufacturing flaw directly resulted in her injuries. She said that the double cup's lack of a sleeve was a manufacturing flaw that contributed to her injuries, along with the cup's overflowing hot tea. The hot tea spilled into Shih's lap when she pushed her chair back to lean over the cup, lost her balance, and rested her palm on the table. Tina Shih and her companion went to Starbucks .in June 2016 and each ordered a hot tea