In comparing the quotes from Tony McEnery and Susan Hunston, we can see that while both authors acknowledge the significance of corpus linguistics in language research, they approach its application and interpretation from different angles. McEnery's focus on empirical observation complements Hunston's call for contextual interpretation, suggesting that a balanced approach that incorporates both perspectives may be the most effective way to leverage corpus linguistics in research and teaching. This divergence highlights a potential tension between the empirical strengths of corpus linguistics and the interpretive challenges that come with it. Alignment and Divergence: Despite their differences, both authors agree on the importance of corpus linguistics in advancing language research. McEnery appears to advocate for the strength of corpus linguistics as a standalone method for observing language, while Hunston cautions against over-reliance on the data without considering the broader context. Role of Corpus Linguistics: McEnery emphasizes the empirical nature of corpus linguistics, highlighting its ability to reveal patterns in language usage through the analysis of large datasets.