Applying the CBTP Process Model The CBTP Process Model (Figure 1) makes the following three assumptions: • That local capacity building and or ganisational development can be most effectively guided using the knowledge and insights of stake holders. • That most stakeholders can look be you their immediate circum stance. • That with community values identi fied, most stakeholders will move together toward acknowledged de sires that respect local area and community well-being. As noted earlier, these assumptions relate to community development and strategic planning principles. The facilitated commu nity assessment case study has shown that the first and second of the above assump tions are realistic, and that the third may be a reasonable expectation. Only time and ex amples of CBTP initiatives elsewhere will provide more insights about the value of this Process Model and validity of its as sumptions. A CBTP approach doesn't ignore or pre clude more market-conscious tourism plan ning and development, but first establishes a common framework for shaping a locally appropriate tourism industry. In the CBTP Process Model, the three major feedback loops (for community assessment, with community development, and for refining the tourism products and services) allow for tourism development to be guided and mas saged by community, area, and market conscious inputs. Subsequent assessments could be done after every tourism season, once a year, or at two- or threeyear inter vals—the frequency would depend on the intensity of tourism pressures, other shifts in the local planning context, and stakeholder satisfaction. The specific community ap proach taken will vary by population size, cultural context, local need, tourism inten sity, seasonality, and previous tourism planning efforts. Nevertheless, the CBTP concepts and framework can remain the same. Along the planning path, it is the recipe of individuals—their talents, skills, experience, limitations, commitment, time, patience, and perseverance—which ultimately determines the success of any community-based proc ess. Stakeholder alienation, turnover, attri tion, and burnout can leave a well founded process stalled or unable to progress along an accountable decision path. Personality dynamics and seemingly unrelated personal demands and agendas can block, accelerate, or accentuate positive planning outcomes. With every tourism season and cycle of growth or decline, the roles, influence, and relationships of tourism stakeholders change (Reisinger, 1994; Smith, 1977). Ac cordingly, communitybased tour-ism plan ning can be pursued as a flexible and re sponsive process instead of as the quest for a rigid plan.